

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,043	-	12/01/2000	Dan Anthony Balogh	4-4-4-18	2578	
22046	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
		OLOGIES INC.	LY, NGHI H			
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR 101 CRAWFORDS CORNER ROAD - ROOM 3J-219 ART UNIT					PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDE	L, NJ 07	733		2686		
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/728,043	BALOGH ET AL.	BALOGH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	•	Nghi H. Ly	2686						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	1 ==	vith the correspondence address -						
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED STATES (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor of the property of the period for reply will, but the period for reply will, the period for reply will the period for rep	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a statute.	reply be timely filed irreply be timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 December 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims		•	•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		. ,					
Applicat	ion Papers								
	•	raminer							
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	****		1(d).					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage						
		. I list of this dominate dopinal file							
A44	-4/-)								
Attachmer 1) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)						
2)	ce of References Cited (FTO-092) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sonetaka (US 6,591,107).

Regarding claim 1, Sonetaka teaches a method of sharing supplemental channel resources (see Abstract, and see column 1, lines "service channel are reserved in advance") in a system utilizing open assignment (Sonetaka, column 4, lines 44-45, see "On receipt of the request for assigning radio-signal channel to the subscriber station", the moment before the request is received reads on Applicant's "open assignment state") and open waiting states (Sonetaka, column 4, lines 47-51, "transmits free radio-signal channel", or column 5, lines 16-17, see "one of free channels in advance reserved", the moment the free channel is available reads on Applicant's "open waiting states") for responding to a resource request (see column 4, lines 43-45), the method comprising the steps of: receiving a data notify request indicating a request for supplemental channel resources (see column 4, lines 43-51), and prospectively assigning currently unavailable supplemental channel resources to support a future supplemental channel for a user associated with the received data notify request if the

Art Unit: 2686

data notify request was received during an open assignment state during which the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are available for prospective assignment (column 4, lines 62-65 and see column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 2).

Regarding claim 2, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether other supplemental channel resources are available if the data notify request was not received during the open assignment state (see column 4, lines 43-51).

Regarding claim 3, Sonetaka further teaches assigning the other supplemental channel resources to the user if the other supplemental channel resources are available (see column 1, lines 54-63).

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Sonetaka further teaches scheduling a next data notify request to be issued for the user in a next preferred user assignment window associated with the other supplemental channel resources, the preferred user assignment window corresponding to a time period during which a current user may be scheduled to issue a data notify request (see column 4, lines 29-34).

Regarding claim 5, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are in an open waiting state during which the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are not available for prospective assignment (see column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 2).

Regarding claim 7, Sonetaka further teaches scheduling a next data notify request for the user to be issued if the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources are not in the open waiting state (see column 8, lines 38-44).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 8, Sonetaka further teaches determining whether the user is a current user of supplemental channel resources (see column 1, lines 48-53).

Regarding claim 9, Sonetaka further teaches reducing a continuation count for the user is a current user and scheduling when to issue a next data notify request for the user based on the continuation count (see column 4, lines 43-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonetaka (US 6,591,107) in view of Vanderspool, II et al (US 5,261,118).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 10, Sonetaka teaches the step of prospectively assigning the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources (see Abstract and see column 4, lines 62-65).

Sonetaka does not specifically disclose determining if a first data rate is different from a second data rate, the first data rate being associated with the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources, the second data rate being associated with the future supplemental channel.

Vanderspool teaches determining if a first data rate is different from a second data rate, the first data rate being associated with the currently unavailable supplemental channel resources, the second data rate being associated with the future supplemental channel (see column 10, lines 44-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Vanderspool into the system of Sonetaka in order to provide time synchronization of data transmissions generated from the transmission stations.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/02/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 5 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that Sonetaka does not disclose nor suggest open assignment nor open waiting states for responding to a resource request as recited in the claim.

Art Unit: 2686

In response, Sonetaka indeed teaches open assignment state (Sonetaka, column 4, lines 44-45, see "On receipt of the request for assigning radio-signal channel to the subscriber station", the moment before the request is received reads on Applicant's "open assignment state") and open waiting states (Sonetaka, column 4, lines 47-51, see "transmits free radio-signal channel", or column 5, lines 16-17, see "one of free channels in advance reserved", the moment the free channel is available reads on Applicant's "open waiting states") for responding to a resource request (see column 4, lines 43-45). In addition, Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 1 above.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2686

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

Uello 04/15/05

CHARLES APPIAH

Page 7